COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In	the	Ma	tter	of:
111	1115	IVIC		

STANLEY MARCINEK)
COMPLAINANT	<i>)</i>)
v.) CASE NO. 96-368
KENTUCKY-AMERICAN WATER COMPANY))
DEFENDANT))

ORDER

On July 30, 1996, Stanley Marcinek filed a complaint against Kentucky-American Water Company ("Kentucky-American") concerning a disputed water bill he received for the three-month period from September 20, 1995 to December 19, 1995. Kentucky-American was directed by Order to satisfy or answer the complaint. Kentucky-American filed its answer on August 21, 1996. As further proceedings were necessary, the Commission established a procedural schedule on October 14, 1996. The Commission issued an information request on November 15, 1996, to which Kentucky-American responded on November 22, 1996. While a hearing was scheduled in this matter for December 13, 1996, the Commission on its own motion rescheduled the hearing to January 24, 1997, on which day the hearing proceeded. Kentucky-American appeared represented by counsel, while Mr. Marcinek appeared pro se.

While Kentucky-American billed quarterly at the time Mr. Marcinek received the disputed bill, it has since switched to monthly billing.

The essence of the complaint is that Mr. Marcinek received a bill from Kentucky-American for the billing period between September 20, 1995 and December 19, 1995 for 8,800 cubic feet of water usage in the amount of \$312.33.² Mr. Marcinek believed that only a malfunction in his meter could have resulted in a bill of this magnitude. He and his wife are the only residents in the home located at 236 St. Ann Drive. Mr. Marcinek testified that he and his wife have resided at the same location for 40 years and have raised a family of eight children. During this time, they never before used this much water in a three-month period or received a bill of this proportion. Mr. Marcinek in fact offered testimony that he and is wife are very conservative water users.

After receiving the unusually large bill,³ Mr. Marcinek contacted Kentucky-American regarding the matter. When Kentucky-American first examined the meter, it found no signs of a leak at the box and noted that the flow finder was not moving. Kentucky-American's second examination of Mr. Marcinek's meter revealed a slight leak, as indicated by the flow finder. A slow leak was subsequently discovered in one of Mr. Marcinek's toilets, which he testified he immediately repaired.

Kentucky-American removed the meter through which 236 St. Ann Drive receives service twice for testing. The test conducted by Kentucky-American on January 15, 1996

To have used 8,800 cubic feet of water over a 90 day billing period, 97.78 cubic feet of water would have had to pass through Mr. Marcinek's meter every day. As there are approximately 7.48 gallons of water in one cubic foot, this would equal 731.39 gallons per day, or 21,941.83 gallons a month.

Mr. Marcinek had averaged 1,220 cubic feet per billing period for the 10 billing periods preceding the billing period which ended on December 19, 1995. For the three billing periods immediately following the period in question, Mr. Marcinek used an average of 967 cubic feet every three months.

showed that the accuracy of the meter serving Mr. Marcinek's property was within Commission standards pursuant to 807 KAR 5:066, Section 15, Accuracy Requirements of Water Meters. Attachment 1. The test conducted by Kentucky-American on February 22, 1996, which was witnessed by Mr. and Mrs. Marcinek, again showed that the accuracy of the meter was within Commission standards under 807 KAR 5:066, Section 15. Attachment 2.

While Mr. Marcinek contends that the leak in his toilet could not have resulted in the significant increase in his water usage indicated by the bill he received in December 1995, he offered no real evidence to support his position that the meter failed to accurately record the amount of water that flowed through it between September 10, 1995 and December 19, 1995. While Kentucky-American could not prove that Mr. Marcinek's increased water usage was the result of his leaky toilet or any other leak on his side of the meter, it does not bear the burden of proof. While the Commission understands Mr. Marcinek's position and recognizes that the bill in question is not consistent with his normal water usage, he failed to prove that the amount of water in question did not in fact pass through his meter. Two tests showed that the meter was accurately recording the amount of water which flowed through it.

Kentucky-American is a utility subject to the regulation of this Commission. KRS 278.160(2) states that:

No utility shall charge, demand, collect or receive from any person a greater or less compensation for any service rendered or to be rendered than that prescribed in its filed schedules, and no person shall receive any service from any utility for a compensation greater or less than that prescribed in such schedules.

Also, KRS 278.170(1) requires that no utility give an unreasonable preference to any person.

From the facts of this case, it does not appear that Kentucky-American is demanding greater compensation than it deserves for service rendered. The meter in question has been thoroughly tested and examined by Kentucky-American. It met the Commission's minimum accuracy requirements and no defects were discovered. While testimony confirmed that a leak, albeit a small one, was discovered in Mr. Marcinek's home, there was no evidence produced to show that the meter through which Mr. Marcinek received service functioned incorrectly at any time.

Unless Mr. Marcinek pays the amount still in dispute, which is \$209.21,⁴ he will have received service from Kentucky-American for less compensation than prescribed in its schedules contrary to KRS 278.160(2). If Kentucky-American does not require Mr. Marcinek to pay in full for the service he received, the utility would violate KRS 278.170(1).

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:006, Section 13(2), Mr. Marcinek may request Kentucky-American to establish a partial payment plan for the outstanding bill. The partial payment plan should be mutually agreed upon and subject to the conditions of 807 KAR 5:006, Section 13(2), and 807 KAR 5:006, Section 14. Any partial payment plan agreed upon should extend at least three months, the period during which the amount in question accrued.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that:

1. The complaint of Stanley Marcinek against Kentucky-American is dismissed.

January 24, 1997 Transcript of Evidence at 112.

2. Kentucky-American shall offer Mr. Marcinek a partial payment plan extending at least three months, and file a copy of said partial payment plan with the Commission within 10 days of its ratification.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 3rd day of April, 1997,

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Vice Chairman

Commissioner

ATTEST:

Executive Director

KENTUCKY - AMERICAN WATER COMPANY

*******************	**********	**********					
***** OFFICE USE ONLY ****							
*****************	***********	***************************************					
Customer Name: S. Marcinek	Account Number:	1970294-00					
Service Address: 236 St Ann Dr	Service Number:	28292					
Meter: Size 57 Make N Number 38723049	Test Scheduled:	Date 1-1594 Time 3:15P.1					
Readings GPM Beginning Ending	Percent of Accuracy	of Required Accuracy					
Low Flow: 14 0166.1500 0166.1600	<u> [က</u>	90% 101%					
Intermediate Flow: 2 0166, 1600. 0166.1700	ισυ	98.5% - 101.5%					
Maximum Flow: 15. 0161-1700 0166. 2699	99.9	98.5% - 101.5%					
***********	*****	****					
*		* *					
* IF ANY OF THE TESTS ABOVE ARE NOT * * ACCURACY LIMITS THEN FURTHER TESTIN							
******************	*******	****					
Flow Rate Reading 8 Reginning 8	ngs Ending	Percent of Accuracy					
25%							
50%							
75%		•					
Average of all 3 iests:		•					
Less Standard: 1009							
Equals % of Error:	FastSlow						
Before Test Reading: 016615	After Test Readir	rg: 016626					
Customer Witness? Y	_						
*** IF PERCENT OF ERROR IS GREATER THAN 2% THEN CO	OMPLETE THE APPROPI	RIATE SECTION BELOW ***					
!ength of time error is known to exist:							
FAST METER basis for refund:							
Amount of refund:							
	-						
SLOW METER basis for additional bill:							
Amount of additional bill:							
COMMENTS:							
Copy to: Customer Service Supt.—Inside Customer Service Supt.—Outside Customer with letter	Meter Envelope	Meter Dept. File					
EXHIBIT 3	- ATTACHN	MENT 1					

METE	R SHOP "	*** OFFICE U	SE ONLY	****		FEB 22	1996
Customer Name:	Mareinck		_ /	Account Numi	ber:	0197-0	
	236 ST. A			Service Numb	ber:	282	.92
Meter: Size <u>5/%</u>	Make V Number	38123049		Test Schedu	led:	Date 422	146 Time 2:50 P/L
Low Flow: Intermediate Flow Maximum Flow:	1/4 0169.5		Ending 54/8 55/8 65/4	· · ·	rcent c curacy 98 /00 99:E	of - - - ****	Required Accuracy 90% 101% 98.5% - 101.5% 98.5% - 101.5%
* * *	IF ANY OF THE TI ACCURACY LIMITS	ESTS ABOVE AFTHEN FURTHER	RE NOT W TESTING	ITHIN THE R	EQUIREI D BELO) * W. * *****	
Flow Rate % of Capacity	CPM	Beginning	Reading	s Ending		Percent Accuracy	
2 <i>5</i> %							-
50%							_
75%							
	Average of all 3	tests: _		_			
	Less Standard:		100%	_			
	Equals % of Erro	or: _		_ Fast_	Slow	/	
Before T	Test Reading: Olb	9 53		After Test	Readir	ng: 0169	<u>65</u>
		stomer Witne	ss? YE	s_k NO_			
*** IF PERCENT	OF ERROR IS CREA	ATER THAN 2%	THEN CO	MPLETE THE	APPROPE	RIATE SECT	I ION BELOW ***
Length of time en	rror is known to e	exist: _					
FAST METER basis	for refund:						
Amount of refund	:						
SLOW METER basis	for additional b	ill:					
	onal bill:			•			•
COMMENTS:							
Copy to: Custome Custome Custome	r Service Supt r Service Supt r with letter	Inside Outside		Meter Enve Service Fi PSC Compla	ile		Meter Dept File Billing Dept File

EXHIBIT 4